McCormick School District 821 North Mine Street McCormick, SC 29835 PK-12 GRADES 1.049 Students ENROLLMENT Lloyd Hunter, Ed.D 864-465-2435 SUPERINTENDENT BOARD CHAIR Oscar New 864-465-2329 FISCAL AUTHORITY County Council THE STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA 2003 ANNUAL DISTRICT REPORT CARD ABSOLUTE RATING: BELOW AVERAGE Absolute Ratings of Districts with Students like Ours Below Average Unsatisfactory Excellent Good Average 0 0 5 IMPROVEMENT RATING: UNSATISFACTORY ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS: N/A SOUTH CAROLINA PERFORMANCE GOAL By 2010, South Carolina's student achievement will be ranked in the top half of the states nationally. To achieve this goal, we must become one of the fastest improving systems in the country. FOR MORE INFORMATION, VISIT WEBSITES AT: WWW.MYSCSCHOOLS.COM WWW.SCEOC.ORG

	R 4-YEAR PERIOD

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Below Average	Average	N/A
2002	Below Average	Average	N/A
2003	Below Average	Unsatisfactory	N/A
2004			

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Our District

Districts with Students like Ours

43.8

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Mathematics

Districts with Students like Ours

45.1

43.8

Mathematics

Mathematics

English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Advanced

Very high score; very well prepared to work at next grade level; exceeded expectations

Proficient

Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations

Basic

Met standards; minimally prepared, can go to next grade level

Did not meet standards; must have an academic assistance plan; the local board policy determines progress to the next grade level

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

TENTH GRADE PASSAGE OF ONE OR MORE SUBTESTS OF THE EXIT EXAM												
		Our Distri	ct	Districts with Students Like Ours								
Percent	2001	2002	2003	2001	2002	2003						
Passed all 3 subtests	43.2	63.9	59.4	54.5	53.6	50.9						
Passed 2 subtests	25.7	13.9	11.6	18.6	19.9	21.0						
Passed 1 subtest	21.6	9.7	15.9	15.4	14.5	15.9						
Passed no subtests	9.5	12.5	13.0	11.5	12.1	11.9						

ELIGIBILITY FOR LIFE SCHOLARSHIPS											
Percent of	Our District	Districts with Students Like Ours									
Seniors eligible for LIFE Scholarships at four-year institutions*	3.5	5.8									
Seniors who met the SAT requirement	3.5	5.8									
Seniors who met the grade point average	42.1	39.2									

^{*}Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

Socio-Economic Status
Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GROUP

	/30	en lesti	asted /	CM Bas	asic /	roficie	Hant E	cient anced
	Enfoli	940, 0/0	lested ologi	alow Bass	Basic ol	Proficie oh	Advant olo Profi	cient ances
	/ • •	ayor lesting		ndish/Lar	nguage A	/	/ 9/0	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
All students	498	99.0	41.1	42.4	15.7	0.9	16.5	17.6
Gender	490	99.0	41.1	42.4	13.7	0.9	10.5	17.0
Male	271	98.9	46.4	41.5	11.3	0.8	12.1	17.6
Female	227	99.1	35.1	43.1	20.9	0.9	21.8	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	71	97.2	27.1	37.3	30.5	5.1	35.6	17.6
African-American	423	99.3	43.7	43.2	12.9	0.3	13.1	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander		0.0						17.6
Hispanic	2	100.0						17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	_	0.0						17.6
Disability Status		0.0						11.0
Not disabled	420	99.8	35.7	45.7	17.6	1.0	18.6	17.6
Disabled	78	94.9	78.9	19.3	1.8		1.8	17.6
Migrant Status	10	0 110	1 0.0	10.0	110			
Migrant		0.0						17.6
Non-migrant	498	99.0	40.9	42.5	15.8	0.9	16.6	17.6
English Proficiency	400	00.0	1010	12.0	10.0	0.0	10.0	1110
Limited English proficient		0.0						17.6
Non-limited English proficient	498	99.0	40.7	42.6	15.8	0.9	16.7	17.6
Socio-Economic Status	100	00.0	10.1	12.0	10.0	0.0	10.1	11.0
Subsidized meals	419	99.3	44.4	42.9	12.4	0.3	12.7	17.6
Full-pay meals	79	97.5	21.4	40.0	34.3	4.3	38.6	17.6
, ,	10	01.0		10.0	1 01.0	10	1 00.0	' '''
				Mathe	matics			
All students	498	99.8	39.0	43.8	13.7	3.5	17.1	15.5
Gender								
Male	271	99.6	40.3	45.6	10.1	4.0	14.1	15.5
Female	227	100.0	37.7	42.0	17.5	2.8	20.3	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	71	98.6	15.3	45.8	27.1	11.9	39.0	15.5
African-American	423	100.0	43.1	44.1	10.8	2.0	12.8	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander		0.0						15.5
Hispanic	2	100.0						15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	_	0.0						15.5
Disability Status		0.5						0.0
Not disabled	420	100.0	33.9	47.3	14.9	4.0	18.8	15.5
Disabled	78	98.7	75.4	19.3	5.3		5.3	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant		0.0						15.5
Non-migrant	498	99.8	38.9	44.1	13.5	3.5	17.0	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient		0.0						15.5
Non-limited English proficient	498	99.8	38.8	44.1	13.6	3.5	17.1	15.5
Casia Esanamia Ctatus						7.5		,,,,

Abbreviations for Missing Data

41.3

25.4

44.2

43.7

11.9

22.5

2.6

8.5

14.5

31.0

15.5

15.5

419

79 l

99.8

100.0

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

		Enroll	840, 0/0	0/08	elle / o/e	0/0	0/0	6/0 blo.
		/ V V	<u> </u>	/ 0/0				\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
				English	ı/Langua	ge Arts		
Δ	Grade 3	74		27.0	47.3	23.0	2.7	25.7
	Grade 4	74		33.8	51.4	14.9		14.9
2002	Grade 5	87		46.0	41.4	12.6		12.6
2	Grade 6	80		45.6	39.2	15.2		15.2
	Grade 7	73		37.5	48.6	13.9		13.9
•	Grade 8	78		54.3	40.0	4.3	1.4	5.7
\blacksquare	Grade 3	75	100.0	21.9	42.5	34.2	1.4	35.6
	Grade 4	84	100.0	34.6	40.7	23.5	1.2	24.7
8	Grade 5	79	100.0	51.4	39.2	9.5		9.5
2003	Grade 6	94	98.9	45.3	43.0	9.3	2.3	11.6
	Grade 7	92	96.7	47.6	45.2	7.1		7.1
	Grade 8	74	98.6	45.2	43.5	11.3		11.3

			Mathematics												
	Grade 3	74		39.2	40.5	20.3		20.3							
	Grade 4	74		48.6	35.1	10.8	5.4	16.2							
8	Grade 5	87		55.2	36.8	5.7	2.3	8.0							
2002	Grade 6	80		50.6	40.5	7.6	1.3	8.9							
	Grade 7	73		73.6	18.1	6.9	1.4	8.3							
•	Grade 8	78		64.3	32.9	2.9		2.9							
	Grade 3	75	100.0	17.8	54.8	24.7	2.7	27.4							
	Grade 4	84	100.0	28.4	42.0	19.8	9.9	29.6							
8	Grade 5	79	100.0	45.9	41.9	10.8	1.4	12.2							
2003	Grade 6	94	98.9	44.2	39.5	11.6	4.7	16.3							
	Grade 7	92	100.0	54.1	40.0	4.7	1.2	5.9							
	Grade 8	74	100.0	41.9	46.8	11.3		11.3							

STATE PERFORMANCE ON NATIONAL TESTS

Terra Nova: a national, norm-referenced achievement test.

		Percentage of students scoring in the upper half, 2002												
	Rea	ding	Lang	uage	Ma	ath	Total							
Grade	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation						
3	49.2	50.0	51.5	50.0	58.2	50.0	54.8	50.0						
6	57.6	50.0	49.0	50.0	51.2	50.0	51.4	50.0						
9*	56.1	50.0	46.8	50.0	51.6	50.0	51.2	50.0						

^{*} Grade 9 estimates were based on a sample that may not be representative of the entire 9th grade population.

National Assessment of Educational Progress: a national, criterion-referenced achievement test.

				Percent of students scoring								
			Adva	anced	Proficient Basic			sic	Below Basic			
Test	Grade	Year	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation	State	Nation		
Reading	8	2002	1	3	23	30	44	43	32	25		
Writing	4	2002	1	2	16	26	65	58	18	14		
Mathematics	8	2000	2	5	15	22	37	38	45	34		

PERFORMANCE BY STUDENT GROUPS

	Exit Exam Passage Rate by Spring 2003		Eligibili Scho	ty for LIFE larships*	Gradua	ation Rate
	n	%	n	%	n	%
All Students	62	95.2%	57	3.5%	74	63.5%
Gender						
Male	22	100.0%	21	9.5%	31	51.6%
Female	40	92.5%	36	0.0%	43	72.1%
Race or Ethnic Group						
African American	56	92.9%	50	2.0%	64	64.1%
Hispanic	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
White	6	116.7%	7	14.3%	10	60.0%
Other	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Disability Status						
Non-speech disabilities	62	95.2%	3	I/S	9	11.1%
Students without disabilities	N/A	N/A	54	3.7%	0	70.8%
Migrant Status						
Migrant	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-migrant	62	95.2%	57	3.5%	0	N/A
English Proficiency						
Limited English proficient	N/A	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A
Non-LEP	62	95.2%	57	3.5%	74	63.5%
Lunch Status						
Subsidized meals	42	92.9%	43	0.0%	48	77.1%
Full-pay meals	20	100.0%	14	14.3%	26	38.5%

^{*} Using only the SAT and grade point average requirements

2002-2003 College Admissions Tests

SAT	Verbal		Ma	ath	Total		
	2002 2003		2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	444	401	437	381	881	782	
State	488	493	493	496	981	989	
Nation	504	507	516	519	1020	1026	

ACT	English		Math		Rea	Reading		Science		Total	
	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	2002	2003	
District	19.0	14.4	17.2	15.2	19.6	15.8	18.3	16.8	18.6	15.7	
State	18.8	18.7	19.1	19.0	19.3	19.4	19.2	19.2	19.2	19.2	
Nation	20.2	20.3	20.6	20.6	21.1	21.2	20.8	20.8	20.8	20.8	

SCHOOLS IN "SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT STATUS"

2002	2003

McCormick Middle Yes Yes

n = number of students on which percentage is calculated

DISTRICT PROFILE					
	Our District	Change from Last Year	Districts with Students Lik Ours		
Students (n= 1,049)					
First graders who attended full-day kindergarten	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
Retention rate	8.6%	Up from 3.8%	5.2%	4.0%	
Attendance rate Meeting grade 1 & 2 readiness standards	95.8% N/A	Down from 96.0% N/A	95.2% N/A	95.4% N/A	
Eligible for gifted and talented On academic plans	5.6% N/A	Down from 6.1% N/A	6.6% N/A	10.7% N/A	
On academic probation With disabilities other than speech	N/A 9.5%	N/A Down from 9.9%	N/A 10.7%	N/A 10.6%	
Older than usual for grade Suspended or expelled	6.9% 1.5%	Up from 4.9% Up from 0.6%	6.8% 1.6%	5.5% 1.6%	
Enrolled in AP/IB programs Successful on AP/IB exams	5.3% N/A	N/A N/A	N/A N/A	10.0% N/A	
Enrolled in adult education GED or diploma programs Completions in adult education GED	59 11	Down from 117 Down from 15	172 27	186	
or diploma programs Teachers (n= 82)					
Teachers (II – 62) Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	31.7% 64.6%	Down from 35.3% Up from 57.6%	44.0% 78.9%	47.8% 82.8%	
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous yea	N/A ar 67.9%	N/A Down from 74.8%	N/A 86.8%	N/A 89.5%	
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	95.5% \$35,148	Up from 93.1% Down 1.5%	94.6% \$38,275	95.1% \$39,707	
Prof. development days/teacher	15.2 days	Up from 8.7 days	12.4 days	11.3 days	
District					
Superintendent's years at district Student-teacher ratio	2.0 17.7 to 1	Up from 1.0 Down from 18.7 to 1	2.0 19.9 to 1	3.0 20.6 to 1	
Prime instructional time Dollars spent per pupil*	90.0% \$9,428	Up from 87.9% Down 9.3%	87.7% \$8,186	89.0% \$7,412	
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	46.7% Good	Up from 39.5% Up from Fair	53.9% Good	56.0% Excellen	
Parents attending conferences Number of schools	92.6% 5	Up from 83.6% Up from 4	92.4% 5	96.1% 8	
Number of magnet schools Number of charter schools	0 2	No change No change	0	0	
Portable classrooms Average age in years of school facilit	12.4% y 36	Down from 13.5% N/A	3.9% 35	3.5% 26	
Number of schools with SACS accreditation	3	N/A	4	3	
* Prior year audited financial data are reported.		Our Dis		State	
Highly qualified teachers in low pover	Ty schools	N/A		N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high pove	erty schools	N/A		N/A	
1	Abbreviation	s for Missing Data			

SCHOOL DISTRICT GOVERNANCE

Board Membership

7 trustees elected to at-large seats

Fiscal Authority

County Council

Average Number of Hours of Training Annually 23.0 per board member

Percent new trustees completing orientation 100.0%

DISTRICT SUPERINTENDENT'S REPORT

McCormick County's commitment to ensuring the successful preparation of all of its students deserves an A+. McCormick County School District, amid increasing federal and state statutory demands and diminishing financial resources, made great strides on its path to becoming a top-performing school district.

Community involvement in our schools continues to grow, construction began on our new elementary and middle schools, and student achievement is up. For example, SAT scores increased 46 points and the percent of students passing the Exit Exam increased 50 percent.

Partnerships, mentors, volunteers, contributors of resources, along with high expectations for our students, are among the reasons we are successfully preparing our students for enriched and productive citizenship in ways that are often not easily measured.

The continued cooperative efforts of the citizens of McCormick County, an outstanding group of students and quality dedicated faculty and staff ensure a bright future for our children and community.

Lloyd Hunter, Ed.D Superintendent of McCormick County School District

DEFINITIONS OF DISTRICT RATING TERMS

- Excellent District performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good District performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
 Average District performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average District is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory District performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal